

**Agenda Item: 7****Title: APPEAL DECISIONS****Author: Michael Ovenden - Head of Development Control**

| APPEAL BY                     | LOCATION  | APPLICATION NO  | DESCRIPTION   | APPEAL DECISION & DATE   | DATE OF ORIGINAL DECISION | SUMMARY OF DECISION   |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Mr Michael Hills              | Carters Farm<br>Duck End<br>Stebbing                | UTT/1508/08/FUL | Appeal against a condition limiting the use to a temporary period (permission for change of use to B1).   | 27 April 2009<br>ALLOWED | 5 Nov 2008                | The Inspector concluded that there was no need for a second temporary period to assess the effect of the activities. He judged that the effect on the landscape was acceptable. (MS)  |
| Mr R Hibbert                  | Battailles Cottage<br>Dunmow Road<br>Great Easton   | UTT/1339/08/FUL | Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for two storey rear extension with a side single storey link to form kitchen & utility areas with a bedroom above | 28 April 2009<br>ALLOWED | 3 Oct 2008                | The Inspector concluded that the extension would be satisfactory; he noted the 'fall back position' afforded by the 'generous' revised pd rights and was not persuaded that the energy efficiency condition was appropriate. (MS)   |
| Stansted Junior Football Club | Field adjacent to the pond Bentfield Green Stansted | UTT/0210/08/FUL | Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for change of use from agricultural land to playing field and erection of changing room/club house facility       | 5 May 2009<br>DISMISSED  | 12 May 2008               | The Inspector concluded that the development would harm the rural setting and the immediate transition from settlement to countryside. He felt that the activities and associated comings and goings would harm the tranquil character of the area. He stated that the character of the conservation area would be harmed. He was not |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
|  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>persuaded that non car travel would be a reality although noted the Highways Authority's lack of objection and was unhappy with the effect of roller shutters on the doors and windows when not in use. He noted the benefits of the proposal but concluded that they did not out weigh the harm. (RF)</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|